United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,597	12/31/2003	John Colgrove	5760-14900	8593	
. 75 Robert C. Kowert	90 01/04/2007	EXAMINER BROWN, SHEREE N			
Meyertons, Hood	l, Kivlin, Kowert, & Go				
P.O. Box 398 Austin, TX 78767			ART UNIT PAPER NUI		
	•		2163		
				,	
SHORTENED STATUTORY I	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
. 3 MON	THS	01/04/2007	PAF	PER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Α	pplication No.		Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		1	0/750,597		COLGROVE ET AL.		
		E	xaminer		Art Unit		
		S	heree N. Brown		2163		
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this commun	ication appear	s on the cover sheet v	vith the co	orrespondence ac	ldress	
WHICHEVER - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If NO period for re - Failure to reply wi Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD F IS LONGER, FROM THE M e may be available under the provisions THS from the mailing date of this comn ply is specified above, the maximum st thin the set or extended period for reply d by the Office later than three months an adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a) nunication. atutory period will ap will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS COMMUN In no event, however, may a pply and will expire SIX (6) MO se the application to become A	IICATION a reply be time ONTHS from the	ely filed he mailing date of this c) (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status							
2a) This acti	sive to communication(s) file on is FINAL . is application is in condition n accordance with the practi	2b)⊠ This ac for allowance	tion is non-final. except for formal ma			e merits is	
Disposition of Cla	aims						
4a) Of th 5)	e above claim(s) is/a e above claim(s) is/a judge is/are allowed. 1-41 is/are rejected. judge is/are objected to. judge are subject to restrict	re withdrawn			,		
Application Pape	rs .						
10) The draw Applicant Replacer	cification is objected to by the ving(s) filed on is/are that any objected that any objected the declaration is objected to	: a) accepton accepton accepton accepton accepton accepton accepton accepton	wing(s) be held in abeyons is required if the drawing	ance. See ng(s) is obje	: 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C		
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o il Date		Paper N			'O-152)	

Art Unit: 2163

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is responsive to the Appeal Brief filed on 11/13/2006.

Prosecution Reopened

2. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 11/13/2006, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

Claim Status

3. Claims 1-41 are pending. Claims 1-4, 7-10, 14-20, 23-26, 30-32, and 35-38 are rejected as detailed below.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 2163

4. Claims 1-41 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1 and 13-17 of U. S. Patent No. 7,103,740 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: The following table shows the claims 1-41 in the instant application "10/750,597" that are rejected by corresponding claims 1 and 13-17 in US Patent 7,103,740.

Claims Comparison Table

Claims 1-41 1 and 13-17

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application, which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804. Nevertheless, to further expedite prosecution of this case, the examiner invites the applicant to file a terminal Disclaimer to overcome this Double Patenting Rejection.

Art Unit: 2163

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-41 are allowed providing that applicant submits a terminal disclaimer.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of records fails to disclose, a multi-class storage mechanism configured to compress data migrated to storage classes in a hierarchy of storage classes to generate access information for data according to a set of policies in addition to modifying the file system metadata for the migrated data to indicate the different storage classes for the migrated data, wherein path information in the file system metadata exposed to applications is not modified in combination with the file system software is configured to add new storage classes according to the set of polices and increase the capacity allocated to a storage class on a storage device within the storage class wherein the migrated data remained online with the multi-class file system.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheree N. Brown whose telephone number is (571) 272-4229. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00 AM - 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2163

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

S. Brown AU 2163 December 22, 2006

ALFORD KINDRED PRIMARY EXAMINER